

COMPLAINTS 15/11/16 – 09/03/17

| Reference | Subject Member | Complainant | Nature of complaint | Route | Outcome | Turnaround time (days) |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2016/17 | Cllr. A | Councillor | That the subject Member made offensive remarks at a Council meeting and had misled in relation to their "interests" | Deputy MO and IP | Complaint rejected – no breach of the Code found. | 79 (Due to significant delays in complainant councillor pursuing complaint) |
| 2016/19 | Cllr. B | Councillor | That subject member failed to act with honesty and objectively in a Council meeting | MO and IP. Review with different IP | Complaint rejected on grounds that it did not breach the Code of Conduct. Review requested and outcome was to support original outcome. | 39 days |
| 2017/1 | Cllr. C | Public | That subject member breached the code in his conduct towards an organisation and this was the latest in a pattern of misconduct regarding this organisation. | MO and IP | Complaint rejected as does not disclose a breach or potential breach of the code | 31 |
| 2017/2 | Cllr. D | Public | Subject member failed to represent constituent's views during a road traffic consultation | MO and IP | Recommended informal resolution where (i) code engaged and not breached, but where some gesture of reparation would still be in the interests of fairness. Subject | 21 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|--------|--|-----------|---|--|
| | | | | | member sent letter of apology to complainant. | |
| 2017/3 | Cllr. E | Public | Subject member used their position to influence a building control matter and came to the complainant's place of work. | MO and IP | Ongoing | |